



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,516	05/10/2001	Brian Byrnes	51140-00001USPT	6340 ~
7590 12/11/2003			EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT ATTEN: BARRY YOUNG GRAY WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP			COURTENAY III, ST JOHN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1755 EMBARCADERO ROAD			2126	F 3.
PALO ALTO,	CA 94303	•	DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

Attachment(s)

37 CFR 1.78.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

**Period for Reply** 

2a) This action is **FINAL**.

5) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14-32 is/are rejected.

Disposition of Claims

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_

**Application Papers** 

**Status** 

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No. 5

ST. JOHN COURTENAY III PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 2

Application/Control Number:

09/852,516 Art Unit: 2126

#### **Detailed Action**

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 14- 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by **McNamara et al.** (U.S. Patent 6,262,976).

### As per independent claim 14:

**McNamara** teaches a method for managing the cost of utilization of computer resources, the method comprising the steps of:

• defining classes of computer resources based on quality of service attributes [e.g., see the four classes shown in fig. 4, and discussed beginning col. 11, line 30; see column 22 discussion of classes, beginning line 41, cont'd col. 23 and particularly col. 24, lines 58-61: "If there were multiple paths through different edge routers to the remote domain, selection of paths could be based on Quality of Service, QOS, criteria or available bandwidth." also see: col. 19, lines 64-66: "Alternatively, the path switch matrix could be loaded with optional paths in addition to the base class paths. The optional paths would allow selection based on different quality of service (QOS) types."];

Application/Control Number:

09/852,516 Art Unit: 2126 Page 3

- defining computer resource usage polices based on the class definitions [e.g., see the four classes shown in fig. 4, and discussed beginning col. 11, line 30; see column 22 discussion of classes, beginning line 41],
- whereby the cost utilization of the computer resources is managed by using the computer resource according to the usage policies [e.g., see col. 24, line 57: "Each path that detects a unique connection to the destination domain could be used for data traffic as well. If there were multiple paths through different edge routers to the remote domain, selection of paths could be based on Quality of Service, QOS, criteria or available bandwidth." and associated discussion col. 24].

#### As per dependent claim 15:

McNamara teaches the quality of service attributes are selected from a group including resource performance, resource reliability, resource availability, resource latency, and resource bandwidth [e.g., see col. 19, lines 64-66: "Alternatively, the path switch matrix could be loaded with optional paths in addition to the base class paths. The optional paths would allow selection based on different quality of service (QOS) types."].

# As per dependent claim 16:

**McNamara** teaches assigning each class an identification designator [e.g., see the four named classes shown in fig. 4, and discussed beginning col. 11, line 30; see column 22 discussion of classes, beginning line 41].

#### As per dependent claims 17-19:

McNamara teaches the step of assigning a broad range of usage quotas to the defined classes [e.g., see "Interior Domain Area scaling allows aggregation of smaller sized interior network

Application/Control Number:

09/852,516 Art Unit: 2126 Page 4

elements to provide for efficient control and <u>network resource</u> usage." and associated discussion col. 49, lines 29-31]

Claims 20 - 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by **Gai et al.** (U.S. Patent 6,651,101).

#### As per independent claim 20:

**Gai** teaches a method for defining, tracking, and enforcing computer resource usage quota comprising the steps of:

- defining classes [e.g., see "policy classes" and associated discussion col. 14, lines 32, 34];
- defining computer resource usage policies [e.g., see col. 14, line 5, "policy bindings"];
- applying the usage policies to the classes [e.g., see "policy identifier element 554" and "A PID specifies a particular policy class" and associated discussion col. 14, line 32];
- generating reports on the usage of the classes [e.g., see print reports and associated discussion col. 10, lines 8-37];
- using the computer resource according to the defined class in accordance with the computer resource usage polices [e.g., see "policy enforcer 210" and associated discussion beginning, col. 18, line 37; see also policy enforcer discussion col. 4, beginning line 58].

#### As per dependent claims 21 &22:

**Gai** teaches assigning a cost to a defined class [e.g., see col. 15 lines 58-67, cont'd col. 16, lines 1-8].

Application/Control Number:

09/852,516 Art Unit: 2126 Page 5

## As per dependent claim 23:

**Gai** teaches the quality of service attributes are selected from a group including resource performance, resource reliability, resource availability, resource latency, and resource bandwidth [e.g., see col. 8, lines 12-14].

## As per dependent claims 24 -32:

**Gai** teaches assigning a class identification to each class [e.g., see "policy classes" and associated discussion col. 14, lines 32, 34; and resource usage quotas as claimed [e.g., see discussion col. 15, lines 58-67, cont'd col. 16, lines 1-8].

## **Allowable Subject Matter:**

Claims 1-13 appear to be allowable over the prior art of record, subject to the results of a final search. The prior art of record does not teach nor fairly suggest the use of the combined system management service (SMS), the configuration database (CDB) for storing data resource usage quotas, and the distributed metadata service (MDS), where the three aforementioned components are operatively connected as claimed.

## Prior Art not relied upon:

Please refer to the references listed on the attached PTO-892 which are not relied upon in the claim rejections detailed above.

Page 6

Application/Control Number:

09/852,516 Art Unit: 2126

#### **How to Contact the Examiner:**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to **St. John Courtenay III** whose voice telephone number is **(703) 308-5217.** A voice mail service is also available at this number. Normal Flex work schedule: M – F 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM

• All responses sent by U.S. Mail should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### Patent Customers advised to FAX communications to the USPTO

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/faxnotice.pdf

Effective Oct. 15, 2003, ALL patent application correspondence transmitted by FAX must be directed to the new PTO central FAX number:

NEW PTO CENTRAL FAX NUMBER: 703-872-9306

 Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: (703) 305-3900.

Please direct inquiries regarding fees, paper matching, and other issues not involving the Examiner to:

Technical Center 2100 CUSTOMER SERVICE: 703 306-5631

The Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) is available online at: <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/mpep/index.html">http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/mpep/index.html</a>

ST. JOHN COURTENAY III PRIMARY EXAMINER

Paper #5 First Office Action